Inroduction of Kint

Department of Computer Science & Technology Tsinghua University

Main Content

- What is Kint ?
- The features of Kint
- The design of Kint
- The evaluation of Kint
- NaN integer semantics

What is Kint?

- Scalable
- Static analysis
- Detect integer errors in C program

Main Content

- What is Kint ?
- The features of Kint
- The design of Kint
- The evaluation of Kint
- NaN integer semantics

The features of Kint

- coverage:
 - statically generates a constraint capturing the path condition leading to an integer error
- false positives:
 - int fun(int a, int b){
 - if(a<0 || b<0 || **a+b<a**)
 - return -1;
 - return a+b;

• }

The features of Kint

- Five contributions to help developers find and deal with integer errors:
- 1. the pragmatic definition of integer errors
- 2. whole-program analysis
- 3. range annotation
- 4. a family of overflow-checked integer for C
- 5. a less error-prone API for memory allocation in the Linux kernel

Main Content

- What is Kint ?
- The features of Kint
- The design of Kint
- The evaluation of Kint
- NaN integer semantics



In-bounds requirement of integer operations

Integer operation	In-bounds requirement	Out-of-bounds consequence
$x +_{s} y, x{s} y, x \times_{s} y$ $x +_{u} y, x{u} y, x \times_{u} y$ $x/_{s} y$ $x/_{u} y$	$x_{\infty} \text{ op } y_{\infty} \in [-2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1} - 1]$ $x_{\infty} \text{ op } y_{\infty} \in [0, 2^n - 1]$ $y \neq 0 \land (x \neq -2^{n-1} \lor y \neq -1)$ $y \neq 0$	undefined behavior [21, §6.5/5] modulo 2 ⁿ [21, §6.2.5/9] undefined behavior [21, §6.5.5] undefined behavior [21, §6.5.5]
<i>x</i> << <i>y</i> , <i>x</i> >> <i>y</i>	$y \in [0, n-1]$	undefined behavior [21, §6.5.7]

- Function-level analysis :
- 1. Bounds check insertion
- On the level of IR, Kint marks the potential integer errors through LLVM intrinsic functions

The design of Kint -Function-level analysis

- 2. Code rewriting:
 - (1) Simplifying common idioms

Original expression	Simplified expression
$x + y <_{u} x$	uadd-overflow (x, y)
$x-y <_s 0$	$x <_{u} y$
$(x \times y)/_u y \neq x$	umul-overflow (x, y)
$x >_u uintmax_n - y$	uadd-overflow (x, y)
$x >_u \operatorname{uintmax}_n / _u y$	umul-overflow (x, y)
$x >_u N/_u y$	$x_{2n} \times_u y_{2n} > N$

The design of Kint-Funtion level analysis

(2) Simplifying pointer arithmetic

```
struct pid_namespace {
    int kref;
    struct pidmap pidmap[PIDMAP_ENTRIES];
}:
struct pid_namespace *pid_ns = ...;
unsigned int last = ...;
struct pidmap *map =
    &pid_ns->pidmap[(last + 1)/BITS_PER_PAGE];
int off = map - pid_ns->pidmap;
```

- off=(pid_ns+4+i \times 8)-(pid_ns+4)=i \times 8
- pid_ns->pidmap=pid_ns+4
- map=pid_ns+4+i×8
- i=(last+1)/BITS_PER_PAGE

The design of Kint-Function level analysis

The design of Kint-Function level analysis

• (3) Merging memory loads

The design of Kint-Function level analysis

- (4) Eliminating checks using compiler optimizations
- if optimizer infer that the potential integer error can't be satisfied, it will remove the corresponding LLVM intrinsic function

- Range analysis
- one of the limitations of Function level analysis is that it can't capture the invariants that hold across functions
- Kint stores a range in the global range table for every cross-function entity

The design of Kint-Range analysis

- Strict-aliasing rules: one memory location can't be used by two different type
- for example:
- int a=9; double b=(double)a;

- Taint analysis
- classify error reports through an untrusted input(source) or sensitive context(sink)

- Constraint generation
- the source of constraint:
 - assignments to variables by preceding operations
 - conditional branches along the execution path

The design of Kint-Contraint generation

```
#define TENAMSIZ 16
static int ax25_setsockopt(...,
    char __user *optval, int optlen)
{
    char devname[IFNAMSIZ];
    /* consider optlen = 0xffffffff */
   /* optlen is treated as unsigned: 2^{32} - 1 */
    if (optlen < sizeof(int))</pre>
        return -EINVAL;
    /* optlen is treated as signed: -1 */
    if (optlen > IFNAMSIZ)
        optlen = IFNAMSIZ;
    copy_from_user(devname, optval, optlen);
    . . .
```

}

The design of Kint -Constraint generation



The design of Kint-Constraint generation

- ((optlen1 = 16) ∧ PathConstraint(I F -T RUE))∨((optlen1 = optlen0) ∧ PathConstraint(I F -FALSE))
- ((optlen1 = 16) ∧ (optlen0 >s 16) ∧ ¬(optlen0 <u 4))∨((optlen1 = optlen0) ∧ ¬(optlen0 >s 16)∧ ¬(optlen0 <u 4))

The design of Kint-Constraint generation

 For programs that contain loops, the path constraint generation algorithm unrolls each loop once and ignores branching edges that jump back in the control flow

function PATHCONSTRAINT(blk)

if *blk* is entry **then**

return true

 $g \leftarrow \mathbf{false}$

for all $pred \in blk$'s predecessors do

 $e \leftarrow (pred, blk)$

if e is not a back edge then

 $br \leftarrow e$'s branching condition $as \leftarrow \bigwedge_i (x_i = y_i)$ for all assignments along e $g \leftarrow g \lor (PATHCONSTRAINT(pred) \land br \land as)$

return g

The design of Kint-Constraint generation

- To alleviate missing constraints due to loop unrolling, Kint moves constraints inside a loop to the outer scope if possible.
- for(i = 0; i < n; ++i)
- a[i] = ...;
- constraint in the loop: i <s 0
- constraint out of the loop: n <s 0

The design of Kint-Limitations

- Kint only support C
- Kint will miss conversion errors that are not caught by existing invari-ants
- Kint analyzes loops by unrolling them once
- if the solver times out, Kint may miss errors corresponding to the queried constraints

Main Content

- What is Kint ?
- The features of Kint
- The design of Kint
- The evaluation of Kint
- NaN integer semantics

Evaluation of Kint

- find new bugs
- Completeness
- False errors
- Performance

Evaluation of Kint-find new bugs

- 2011.11-2012.4
- Linux kernel: 105
- Lighttpd: 1
- OpenSSH: 5

Evaluation of Kint-Completeness

	Caught in original?	Cleared in patch?
CVE-2011-4097	\checkmark	page semantics
CVE-2010-3873	\checkmark	CVE-2010-4164
CVE-2010-3865	accumulation	\checkmark
CVE-2009-4307	\checkmark	bad fix (§3.3.4)
CVE-2008-3526	\checkmark	bad fix (§3.3.3)
All 32 others (\star)	\checkmark	\checkmark

(*) CVE-2011-4077, CVE-2011-3191, CVE-2011-2497, CVE-2011-2022, CVE-2011-1770, CVE-2011-1759, CVE-2011-1746, CVE-2011-1745, CVE-2011-1593, CVE-2011-1494, CVE-2011-1477, CVE-2011-1013, CVE-2011-0521, CVE-2010-4649, CVE-2010-4529, CVE-2010-4175, CVE-2010-4165, CVE-2010-4529, CVE-2010-4162, CVE-2010-4157, CVE-2010-3442, CVE-2010-3437, CVE-2010-3310, CVE-2010-3442, CVE-2010-2959, CVE-2010-2538, CVE-2010-2478, CVE-2009-3638, CVE-2009-3280, CVE-2009-2909, CVE-2009-1385, CVE-2009-1265.

Evaluation of Kint-False positives

- Three experiments:
- CVE experiment
- Whole-kernel report analysis
- Single module analysis

Evaluation of Kint-Performance

• Kint analyzed 8,916 files within roughly 160 minutes: 33 minutes for compila-tion using Clang, 87 minutes for range and taint analyses, and 37 minutes for generating constraints and solving 420,742 queries, of which 3,944 (0.94%) queries timed out.

Main Content

- What is Kint ?
- The features of Kint
- The design of Kint
- The evaluation of Kint
- NaN integer semantics

NaN integer semantics

- NaN not-a-number
- NaN state

```
size_t symsz = /* input */;
size_t nr_events = /* input */;
size_t histsz, totalsz;
if (symsz > (SIZE_MAX - sizeof(struct hist))
           / sizeof(u64))
   return -1;
histsz = sizeof(struct hist) + symsz * sizeof(u64);
if (histsz > (SIZE_MAX - sizeof(void *))
           / nr_events)
    return -1;
totalsz = sizeof(void *) + nr_events * histsz;
void *p = malloc(totalsz);
if (p == NULL)
   return -1:
nan size_t symsz = /* input */;
nan size_t nr_events = /* input */;
nan size_t histsz, totalsz;
histsz = sizeof(struct hist) + symsz * sizeof(u64);
totalsz = sizeof(void *) + nr_events * histsz:
void *p = malloc(totalsz);
if (p == NULL)
    return -1;
```

NaN integer semantics

- void *malloc(nan size_t size)
- {
- if (isnan(size))
- return NULL;
- return libc_malloc((size_t) size);
- }

NaN integer semantics

	w/omalloc	w/malloc
No check	$3.00 {\pm} 0.01$	79.03±0.01
Manual check	24.01 ± 0.01	$104.04 {\pm} 0.03$
NaN integer check	$4.05 {\pm} 0.17$	$82.03 {\pm} 0.05$